G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~191~~.

(Local Boards)

File No. 55 of 1893

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month June 1909

SUBJECT.

Higher rate of pay to Midwives.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

G. R. S. 11] 1905

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(LB)

NOTES.

File No. 55/93

FILE HEADING.

Higher rates of pay to midwives

From The Senior Surgeon

No. 929 Dated 13.6.06 Received 14.6.06

Diary No. 9711	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests early reply to his letter No. 716 of 29.3.06 anent the higher rate of pay to certain midwives.

3 submitted for orders.

NOTES AND ORDERS

The file has been submitted to the First Commissioner for orders on the 29th May 1906. The present communication may be submitted in continuation

Subd to 1st Commissr.
HB
18/6/06

Put with Cos orders
on 14/6/06.
Acknd 716.

3.45
19-6-06

716

Notes—First sheet. RS Ives
16/6/1906

MGCPB—30,000—17 2-05.

The file does not appear to be
seeking

G.R.S. 1
Gener

2—

5 of 190 3

Papers of
L J 55-93

re Measures

shows the following
to D no 8479, the
disposal in that

29.5.06
19.6.06
21.6.06.
that the papers
to be before the
may be submitted
tion

29/6/06

in Continuation

3/7/06

L J 55-93.
papers
re
on 29/6/06
from no 4481
06

Asst Sec
Elec
Mech
Military

[illegible] recd back
[illegible] D no 5589-05
[illegible] Inoculation Vaccine
[illegible] file) D no 3556.

21.7.06

20/7/06

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

G.R.S. 13] Mis 155

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Dr Mackesy

[299 9/04 - Budget
Minute
Country med

In connection with a/c
Claim & pay of Midwives I think
Ex report was called for from the
Senior Surgeon & also the Senior Registrar
Pharmacist manager - Have these been
rec'd?

Mtt 30 Sept

III V. 10. 9
SJ 3/10/06

Submitted.

(1) The report called for
from the Senior Surgeon in
para 10 of Govt order no.
1587-8 x dated the 16th
Aug 55-93
August 1906, has not as
yet been received

flag R

(2) In the above order
there was no call made

P.J.O

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small Slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

MGCPB—50,000—22-9-04

For the submission of the
revised register of the Inspectors
of Weights & Measures.

mg 23
31/8/02

Yours faithfully
A.C.
Keeper

Put on
with bank
file + return
to RS

CB

14/9

G.R.S. 13]

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, &c., not intended for permanent record.]

LGBB

Put up the file to the Under Secretary's minute recently passed - (talking to Mr Cooper & Mr Nash)

GVB
4.9.06

O.H.N.
5/4/1906

file below. Submitted. Please see
5/4/1906

To the Undersecretary's room, as desired

GVB

2122
5/9/06

Resubmitted (with file returned files) as directed -

GVB
14.9.06.

GVB
15/9/06

I.O.S.
5/17/9/06

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—11-4-06

List of mutineers
Rs 15/- prior to 1st Augt

Serial no.	Name of workman	Present station	Date of entry into service	Pay prior 1st August	
				m. 1903 as per statement received from the Superintendent	as per statement received from the Superintendent
1	2	3	4	5	6

1 ✓	Jayapurnammal	Kirlepet	10.6.99	15	15
2 ✓	Kupp-amma ✓	Channapatna	1-9-93	20	
3 ✓	Samuthramma ✓	Devanhally ✓	15-2-01	20	
4 ✓	Rachelamma ✓	Gangarpet	27-3-94	20	
5 ✓	Gnaniamma ✓	Bangalore ✓	9-5-94	20	20
6 ✓	Mariamma	Kankanhally	1-12-95		18
7 ✓	Mariamma ✓	Malleswaram	23-9-96	20	20
8 ✓	Thayammal ✓	Bangalore E D Hospital	17-3-96	20	20
9 ✓	S D'souza ✓	Malur ✓	10-7-93	18	15
10 ✓	Chinnapallammal ✓	Female Dispensary	18-10-94	20	15+
11 ✓	Mrs E R. D Cruz ✓	Kolar ✓ Tumkur	10-8-94	20	20
12 ✓	Hussain bee ✓	Tiptur ✓	8.7.93	20	20
13 ✓	Muniyammal ✓	Turuvekere	19-5-94	20	20

14	Elizabeth	Maddagiri	1-1-90	20	
15	Seromany	Mysore	1-7-92	20	
16	Rodamma	(Maharani's Hospl) T. Narsipur	4.7.98	nil.	
17	Chinnamma	Gundlupet	6-12-92	20	
18	Pragasam	Kaggaderan Kote	Sept 01	15 + 5	
19	Chinnammal (Gnanamma)	Hassan	17-1-90	25	
20	Bagyamma	Sakleshpur	1-8-93	20	
21	Arokiamma	Belur	30-11-99	18	
22	Gnanamma	Yedehally	24-1-91	20	
23	S. Wilson	Mudigere	30.4.95	18	
24	Mrs J. Costello	Shimoga	13-7-98	30	
25	Anna D'souza	Sagar	10.7.93	20	
26	Kalala D'souza	Kallurkalle	15-9-95	18	
27	M D' Costa	Shikarpur	1-2-93	20	
28	Avaraamma	Kolalkere	30-9-01	20	
29	Jagadamba	Marikanve	12-11-00	20	
30	Ekka Peel	Bowringpet	18-12-95		15
~~31~~	~~A. P. Moses~~	~~Kolar~~	~~25-2-03~~		

10	5–	15–
5–	15–	15–
	15–	20
	15–	15–
	5–	20
+5–	15+5–	15–
	15–	–
	15+10	–
+5–	15–	15
5–	15	15
	15–	20
	15–	20
	15–	–

	15	–	
0	20	–	✓
-3	15	15	maybe omitted was drowsy Rx 15 mg ✗ ✓
20	15	20	✓ vv ✓
15+3	15+5	15	✓ 15+5 ✗ vv
25	25		
15+5	15+5	18	✓ vv ✓
15+3	15+3	18	✓
15+5	15+5	–	
15+3	15+5	–	✗
30	30	30	✗ Ceased to be in evidence vv
15+5	15+5	–	
15+3	15+5	15	✓
15+5	15+3? 15+5	–	
5+5	15	15	✓ omission
20	20+4	20	? ✓ vv ✓
+2	15+2	15	
15+2			

Students whose names were

1	Arokiamary ✓	Bellary	8-1-01	20
2	Rajamma ✓	Gunsur	29.1.94	20
3	Sanjeevamma ✓			20
4	Ameerbee ✓	Davangere	17.4.02	20
5	Ameenabee ✓	Tumkur & Dispensary	18.4.94	20
6 ✓	Rachel Antonamma ✓	Maternity Hospl Bang.	1-9-91	20
7 ✓	Mrs L.A. Knight ✓	Kottagiri	9-8-95	20
8	Clara ✓			20
9	Santhamma ✓	✓ Nagamangal 23.3.00	23.3.00	20
10	S.D. Grosses ✓	✓		18
11	Annamma ✓			20
12	Mrs Monisse Chelvaraj			20
13	Amirthamma ✓	Harihar	1.9.95	20

Students who

Eggamma	Mysore Genl Hospl	29/01	
Annamma	Thirthally	8.7.06	

shown as the Senior Surgeon's original list & omitted here

15	15	Mrs [illegible] omitted ~~was in the~~ Maternity Hospl in 1903 as her whereabouts are not known
15	15	Capt.
		✓Retired ____ vv
15	15	15 dismissed
15	15	15 C. since ascertained where died
15	20	20 ✗✓ vv ✓
15	15	Saved (6)
		died
15	15	20 ✓ 6.7.9 found ab. vv ✓
		18 ✓ dismissed vv ✓
		on
		one Annamma shown in this present list at Thalassery is stated to have been taken on 8.7.06.
15	15	C.

are getting ~~ab~~ present Rs 15 Rs 15

17	15
15	18 ✓

xx

[illegible] 15 [illegible]

who were drawing more than
at 1902 &c

	Present pay	Pay on which insured	Remarks	or ders.
	7.	8.	9.	

List of midwives

Rs. 15/- prior to

Serial no.	Name of midwife	Present station	Date of entry into service	Pay prior to 1st August 1902		Present pay	Pay on which increment
				As per statement read in 1903	As per statement now received		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

1	Jayapurnammal	Arlepet	10-6-99	~~15~~	15 + 10	15	15
2	Thippamma	Chamarajapeta	1-9-93	20	20+5	15	15
3	Samuthramma	Devanhally	15-2-01	20	20	15	20
4	Rachelamma	Gangarpet	27-3-94	20	20	15	20
5	Gnanamma	Bangalore	9-5-94	20	20	15	20

Identical with no 45.

Sara Williams who was
transferred from Chittaurs
in 1905 was drawing Rs 15.
prior to [illegible] 1904

Nurse no 2

This woman is identical with
no 41. Her services were dispensed
with from 1st July 04 & she seems
to have been reemployed
with effect from 8th July 06. She
was drawing Rs 7.0 & had increased
on Rs 1½

x. Comptroller says that correct information cannot be furnished. The allowance seems to be plague allowance.	Same orders as in 2 may apply. No orders seem to be called for.
102 - Mudigere Her pay may be taken as Rs 15 and a personal allowance of Rs 5 sanctioned from the date she began to draw Rs 15 only	She was midwife of Mudigere, a malnad Taluk & so seem to have been given Rs 20 according to G.O No. 4629-60 / L.A. 55-93 d 7-9-1893. In 1902, uniform rate of Rs 15 was allowed to all midwives with local allowances
Hoskote No 2	No local allowance is now attached to Hoskote & therefore it is not known how Sannathamma got Rs 20/. Probably she was first entertained on Rs 20/—
~~Closepet~~ Taluk Cuddapah No 2	do
Bangalore No 2	do

41	Annamma			20		
42	M midwife Chittaidrug					
43	Muthamma	Barber	1-9-98	20	15	15
44	Eganna	Mysore Civil Hospital	2.9.24		17	15
45	Annamma	Thirtha-halli	8-7-06		15-4-5	18

6	✓ Maruamma	Kankan halli	1-12-95		15+5	15+5	15
7	✓ Maruamma	Malleswa-ram	23-9-96	20	20	15	
8	✓ Thayammal	Bangalore E. D Hospital	17-3-96	20	20	15+10	
9	✓ S D'Souza	Malur	10-9-93	18	15+5	15	18
10	✓ Chinnapillammal	Female dispensary Kolar	18-10-94	20	15+5	15	15

Comptroller says she was drawing £20 prior to 1st Augt & that she now draws only £6 but has insured on £20

Comptroller says that she was drawing £20. & his woman has not insured her life

Same as 36.

This midwife's name was not entered in the old list ~~recd in 1903~~ Senior Surgeon says that this midwife was not drawing more than 15ᴿ prior to 1st Augᵗ 02 while the Comptroller says that she was drawing 15ᴿ + 5ᴿ Kankan- nally being matrad. She even now draws 15ᴿ + 5ᴿ, & has insured only on Rs 15ᴿ.	When she is transferred from Kankandy it has to be decided what pay she shd draw.
	~~Same as 2~~ - This may be treated as her personal allowance and so long as she draws this, she is not to draw any matrad or Sani-matrad allowance.
1902 Mallesvaram	She is at Mallesvaram since from a long time. Same as no 6 -
Sirsi 02 she was attached to Ankola	Same as 3. Rs 5ᴿ personal allowance add allowance as Rs 5ᴿ to be treated as local allowance.
Shimoga	She was in matrad Same as 2 Same as 2.
Yedehally	do Same as 2 Yes

36	Excise Anto - nianma	Maternity hospital Bangalore	1-9-91	20	15-	20	20
37	Mrs A Knight	Korta - gere	9-8-95	20	15-	15-	
38	Clara			20			
39	Santa - nanma	Naga - mangal	23-3-00	20	15-	15-	20
40	S. D. Groves			18			

11	Mrs C. D Cruz	Tumkur	10-8-94	20	20	15-	20
12	Hussain bee	Tiptur	8-7-93	20	20	15-	20
13	Muni - yammal	Tumkur	19-5-94	20	20	15-	
14	Elizabeth	Maddu - giri	1-1-90	20	20	15-	
15	Sethamma	Mysore Maha, Rama Hospital	1-7-92	20	20	20	-

Sophia Mary shewn
in the old list is not
identical with No 31 of
the witnesses the
former are not known

Neil supposes she was drawing 12 20/- on 8th July 02.

She is not identical with
anyone mentioned in the
old list who has been
dismissed

The Comptroller says she was drawing only 12 15s 0d prior to 1st August 1901.

Tuticorin — ~~Same as 3~~ Same as 2

Chikmaikanal	Do Do
Trivandrum	Do
Madagascar	Do
A.A Thomas — Ranis Hospital. The S.S has no objection to treat the excess being treated as allowances attached to	To come under the stations & Institutions & Deputies how their allowance is to be considered in the case of the present nominees being transferred. In the case of them it maybe treated as personal allowance

31	Arokia mary	Kirpal- dua	9-1-07	20	15-	15-	15-
32	Rajamma	Kunsur	29-1-94	20	15-	15-3	15-
33	Saijeevamma			20			
34	Ameerbee	Davangere	17-4-08	20	15-	15-	15-
35	Ameenabee	Tumkur L-2- Dis- pensary	18-4-94	20	15-	15-	15-

16	✓ Rukamma	T. Narsipur	4-7-98	~~15-3~~	15-3	15	15	
17	✓ Chinnamma	Gundlupet	6-12-92	20	20	15	20	
18	✓ Pragasam (Gnanamma)	Heggada-Devankote	6-ct-01		15-3	15-5	15	
19	✓ Chinnammal	Hassan	17-1-90	25	25	25		
20	✓ Bagyamma	Yelesipur	1-8-93	20	15-5	15-5	18	

17½

This woman's name also does not find a place in the list of 1903. The Comptr says that she was drawing only Rs 15/- & the S.S is of opinion that the Comptroller's information is correct —	No orders are required It must be assumed that (15+37) is a mistake
Ycdatore	Same as 2
Not found in the old list. She was in the Chirakmagalur Taluk in 1903 drawing Rs 15 7½. & not Rs 15 7 3 as noted in the present list. Has insured on Rs 8/-	Same as for 6. / Similar to case no 6.
~~the Sowcar Suspense has no~~ Hassan	The Same as 15 — Rs 15 + 10 p.c. in the case of this woman - ~~The~~ the case of her succession pay will be Rs 15 but a lien of Rs 10 will be given.
Sackleshpur	may be taken the maximum allowance. When she is transferred the amount will have to be treated as personal allowance / Same as 2 / Same as 6.

26	Natalis D'souza	Kadur Kaive	15-9-93	18	15-4-3	15-5-	15
27	M J Costa	Shikar-pur	1-2-93	20	15-8-	15-5-	1-
28	Maranamma	Holal-Mere	30-9-01	-	15-4-5-	15-	15-
29	Jagadamma	Mari-Kaive	12-11-00	20	20	20-4	20
30	Ellen Peel	Bowring pet	78-12-93		15-4-1.	15-4-2-	15-

21	Arokiamma ✓	Belur	30-11-99	18	1873	1873	18
22	Gnanamma	Yedehally	24-1-91	20	1875	1875†	
23	S. Wilson	Mudigere	30-4-95†	18	1873	1875†	
24	Mrs Costello	Shimoga	13-7-98	30	30	30	30
25	Amiah D'Souza	Sagar	10-7-93	20	1875	1875†	-

In the Comptrollers statement
read in January 16 she was shown
as having ceased to be in service
She rejoined her appointment
on 9.4.0 but the period of
absence was treated as leave
without pay.

who were drawing more than
pt August 1902

Senior Surgeons
+ Comptrollers
remarks

Office note

9 10

Sambrah will please see me with this bill

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Submitted

The papers in this file are in a confused condition. The note from page 3b of the note summarises the case fairly.

The exact cost involved is not known, since it is not known what amount has been drawn by these midwives by way of maternity and sanitary allowances on these have to be deducted from the personal allowance under the draft order now put. For the same reason it seems unnecessary to prescribe expressly the mode of treating the cases of Rajamma and Eswamma, to which the principles laid down in the draft seems to apply. At any rate it clearly does in the case of Eswamma.

In the case of Hasan and Shivoga the local allowance proposed is 10 and 15 Rs respectively for an appointment carrying a salary of only Rs 15, acting allowances of 10 and 15 rupees seem very disproportionate. Apparently the only reason that has influenced the fixing of the allowances at these rates is that the present incumbents Shivammal and Castello are drawing 25 Rs and 30 Rs respectively. Of course these two women will draw the enhanced 15 as personal allowances and there seems to be no reason why the appointments also should be correspondingly benefitted. It seems therefore that the allowances may be reduced.

G.I.P. 45,000—19.5.08

715.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

D9711

Urgent

Office of Senior Surgeon and Sanitary Commissioner
Mysore Government,

No. G.929
Enc.

Dated, Bangalore, 12/13 6. 1906.

FROM

LT.-COL. JOHN SMYTH, M.D., I.M.S.,
Senior Surgeon and Sanitary Commissioner
with the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

To

K.S.Chandarasekara Iyer Esqr.,
Secretary to the Government of H.H.the
Maharaja of Mysore General and Rev. Deptts.
Bangalore.

SIR,

In inviting favour of reference to this office No.G.716 dated 29-3-06 anent the higher rate of pay to certain Midwives, I have the honour to request the favour of an early reply thereto.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

your most obedient servant

M.D.
Lt.Col.I.M.S.
Offg.Senior Surgeon & Sany.Commr.M.G.

G.R.S. 28]

2

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 8479 ~~7039~~

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Mb:)

READ.—

Para 4 of ~~the~~ Govt Prdgs: No 2273-84 dated the 30 August 1902 fixing the pay of the midwives in the Province at a uniform rate of Rs 15/- per mensem with an allowance of Rs 3/- and Rs 5/- for those serving in the semi-mal. nad and malnad respectively as recommended by the Senior Surgeon

2) Letter No G.L.10 dated the 7th May 1903 from the

over.

Dated, the 28th ~~April~~ May 1906

Date of issue

Signed by

State

SUBJECT

Senior Surgeon and Sanitary
Commissioner with the Govt
of H. H. the Maharaja of
Mysore submitting for con-
-sideration, with reference to
the above order, a statement
showing the names of the
midwives who were in receipt
of a consolidated pay vary-
ing from Rs 18/- to Rs 30/- per
mensem prior to the receipt
of that order and recommend-
-ing the continuance of the
same pay to them until
they vacated their appoint-
-ments either by retirement
or death, and adding that
~~when~~ their successors
~~would~~ will be appointed on the salary
fixed by Govt except in
the case of those attached
to the Maternities at Bangalore
and Mysore and the Civil
~~Hospitals~~ at Shimoga, Hassan
and Chitaldrug who may be

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

left without being disturbed even where more appointments are to be filled up by new Comers on the occurrence of vacancies as the charge on this account is borne by the different Municipalities Concerned giving due consideration to the importance of the station & the nature of the work turned out by ~~those Midwives~~ them.

3) Correspondence ending with letter No 6116 dated the 30 May 1907 from the Comptroller to the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Orders thereon.

Government regret that there should have been so much confusion in regulating the pay of the several Midwives in the State according to orders issued from time to time. The Senior Surgeon has no explanation to offer for the disbursement of higher rates of pay to some of the Midwives than ~~had been~~ was sanctioned by Govt. ~~but both the Comptroller and the Residents Concerned were~~ ~~not aware of the disbursement of such higher~~

Sahs Jacksons

This apparently the primary duty of the Manager of the Senior Surgeon's Office to see to these matters, & the Senior Surgeon is requested to send up his Senior Register duly written up to date for the perusal of Govt.

MGOHB—30,000—1-4-05.—

~~rates without Govt. sanction and~~
~~the more unauthorized authority~~
~~of himself.~~ The Mysore, his letter No. 3553
dated the 20th October 1903.
the Comptroller reported that
except in the Case of the
Midwives of Hassan and
Chuniga, who were specially
allowed higher rates of pay,
all the rest were allowed
a uniform salary of only Rs. 15/-
with the Mahnad and semi-
Mahnad allowances. ~~But that~~
~~reported~~ and the entries made
in the statement of Midwives
who have misread their ~~letters~~ letters,
which was received with his
letter No. $\frac{4203}{644}$ dated the 27th
January 1906, are conflicting,
in that they show a Consolidated
pay of Rs. 20/- to Kanamma her
former pay being only Rs. 15/-, and
an allowance of Rs. 2/- to Ellen
Peel, while ~~there~~ no allowances
whatever are shown in the Case of several
Midwives. ~~But~~ Regard being,
however, had to the strong
recommendation made by the
Senior Surgeon, Govt. are pleased
to direct that in the Case
of all the Midwives

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

drawing a Consolidated pay higher than Rs 157- a month in prior to the 3d August 1902 such higher pay be Continued, the excess over the sanctioned rate of Rs 157- being treated as personal allowance & the provision referred as heretofore

It should however be distinctly understood that after the present incumbents vacate their posts either by retirement or otherwise their successors should get only the sanctioned scale of pay and not also the personal allowances enjoyed by their predecessors.

2) Such of the midwives as have insured their lives under the Mysore State Life Insurance Scheme on higher rate of pay are hereby permitted to Continue to pay monthly premia of more than Rs 1-8-0. In this Connection, Govt desire to impress upon the Dy: Comrs: and Heads of departments the necessity of securing accurate entries in the form of proposal for insurance before forwarding the proposals of their subordinates, as the Proposers are often ~~very~~ often ab

MGCPB—30,000—5-1-05.

to as a subordinate pay, their salary including allowances instead of subordinate pay exclusive of all allowances in the Column ~~of Remarks~~ provided therefor. The responsibility for accuracy in this respect devolves ~~entirely~~ on the officers who forward the proposals. Heads of Offices drawing up the pay bills of their Establishments will also be responsible to see that persons are removed under the Life Insurance Rules only on the Subsidiary pay of the Service.

3) The Senior Surgeon's recommendation that the pay of the ~~Inspectors~~ Midwives in the Maternities at Bangalore and Mysore and ~~the~~ in the Civil Hospitals at Shimoga, Hassan and Chitaldrug may be kept undisturbed even when those appointments are to be filled up by new Comers on the occurrence of vacancies ~~is sanctioned~~ ~~will be~~ is reserved for consideration and orders by Govt.

4) Meanwhile a statement of all the Midwives in the State showing clearly the place ~~where~~ in which they each ~~are~~ posted ~~X~~ and the salary she ~~they~~ receives under this order should be submitted by the Senior Surgeon for the information of Govt at a very early date.

25.5.1906

19/5/06 Sect.

To the Senior Surgeon
a Compl: Rec. Book
a Dy. Comrs. Circle
Heads Offices

25/5/06

19/5/06
Secy

14/June 06

		Rs
1.	Arokia Mary	20
2.	Thoyamma	20
3.	Sangeevammah	20
4.	Rajamma	20
5.	Mariammah	20
6.	Ameerbee	20
7.	Raichal	20
8.	Ameena Bee	20
9.	Rachel Antoniamma	20
10.	Samudram	20
11.	Gnanamma	20
12.	Elizabeth	20
13.	Mrs L.A.Knight	20
14.	Hussain Bee	20
15.	Muniamma	20
16.	Mrs E.R. D'Cruz	20
17.	Siromoney	20
18.	Chinnamma	20
19.	Clara	20
20.	Santhanamma	20
21.	Chinnamma	25-
22.	Bhagyamah	20
23.	S.D. Groves	18
24.	Annamma	18
25.	Arokiamma	18
26.	Mrs J. Costello	30-
27.	Gnanamma	20
28.	Sobina D'Souza	18
29.	Anna D'Souza	20
30.	Nathala D'Souza	18
31.	Nathala D'Costa	20
32.	Seraphina Wilson	18
33.	Chinnapillammal	20
34.	Amirthamma	20
35.	Kuppamma	20
36.	Jagadamba	20

the powers of appointing and posting Midwives, is mainly responsible for the mistake in allowing certain Midwives to draw salaries in excess of the sanctioned scale, except in the cases of Mrs D'Costello and Sobina D'Souza for whom higher rates were sanctioned by Government. The Government, however, are unwilling to reduce the pay of these Midwives. ~~It~~ Which is, as noted in the margin, ~~and~~ It will be allowed as a special case on the distinct understanding that in filling up vacancies among them, will be the authorized scale of pay ~~is~~ conformed to.

3. The Senior Surgeon's recommendation that the pay of the Municipal Midwives in the maternities at Bangalore and Mysore and in the Civil Hospitals at Shimoga, Hassan and Chitaldrug may be left undisturbed even when those appointments are filled ~~is~~ by new comers on the occurrance of vacancies is sanctioned

4. It should stated why Jayapurni Ammal's name is included in the statement submitted by the Senior Surgeon, though she has been drawing only 15 Rs, the rate fixed by Government

30.8.1504

Secretary.

To

The Senior Surgeon and Sanitary Commissioner returning enclosures.
Comptroller

I am not sure that this necessary-
Such unauthorized acts are corrected
& such ups will
goon. Have all
been summed on this
present pay-?
Would the Surgeon is
due from the Senior
Surgeon with clear
this — unauthorised
and